PROCEEDINGS

Comparison of Results Used Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics Method and Lagrange Method Based on Segmental Uncoupled Charge

Xiang Li¹, Guangyan Huang^{1,2,*} and Zhiwei Guo^{1,*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science and Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China ²Beijing Institute of Technology Chongqing Innovation Center, Chongqing, 401120, China *Corresponding Author: Guangyan Huang, Zhiwei Guo. Email: huanggy@bit.edu.cn, 7520210129@bit.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

SPH method is widely used to study the dynamic response of metal casing under explosive loading because of its superiority in simulating metal fracture phenomenon [1-3]. The distribution of the fragment from uncoupled charge structures with segmental shaped explosive were studied. The X-ray photographic images of fragmentation obtained from explosion experiment were compared with the numerical results based on SPH method and Lagrange method. The fragmentation shows that the numerical results based on the Lagrange method are in good agreement with the experimental results while some errors appear in results based on SPH method. The velocity of the fragments at the bottom of the casing in the SPH results is 28.4% lower than that of the experimental results and Lagrange results. The velocity distribution curve shows that surge of velocity appears in the range from -90° to -85° , where the difference between two numerical methods occurred. In this paper, the differences between SPH method and Lagrange method were obtained and the mechanism of the differences involved is revealed. The conclusion provides a reference for the application of meshless methods in the research of metal materials under explosive loading.

KEYWORDS

SPH method; lagrange method; explosion; X-ray photography



(a) Charge structure (b) X-ray photography system Figure 1: The schematic diagram of the experiment



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 2: Comparison between numerical results and experimental results



Figure 3: Comparison of results between SPH method and CEL method



Figure 4: The schematic diagram of the experiment

Acknowledgement: This work was sponsored by the National Key Research and Development Program of China [Grant number 2017yfc0822300]and National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant number11772059] and [Grant number 12202062]. The experiments were also supported by State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science and Technology of China [grant number QNKT22-06] and China Post-doctoral Science Foundation [Grant number 2022M710360].

Funding Statement: The authors received no specific funding for this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References

- 1. Guo, Z.-w., Huang, G.-y., Liu, C.-m., Feng, S.-s. (2018). Effect of eccentric initiation on the fragment velocity distribution of d-shaped casings filled with explosive charges. *International Journal of Impact Engineering, 43 (12),* 1215-1220.
- 2. Guo, Z.-w., Huang, G.-y., Liu, H., Feng, S.-s. (2020). Fragment velocity distribution of the bottom part of D-shaped casings under eccentric initiation. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 144, 103649.
- 3. Kong, X., Wu, W., Li, J., Liu, F., Chen, P. et al. (2013). A numerical investigation on explosive fragmentation of metal casing using Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamic method. *Materials & Design*, *51 (60)*, 729-741.