Meta-analysis of the cell cycle related C12orf48

LOKMAN VARISLI

Harran University, Art and Science Faculty, Department of Biology, Osmanbey Campus, Sanliurfa, Turkey

Key words: C12orf48, cell cycle, co-expression, gene ontology, oncomine

ABSTRACT: The cell cycle is a conserved process from yeast to mammals and focuses on mechanisms that regulate the timing and frequency of DNA replication and cell division. The temporal and spatial expression of the genes is tightly regulated to ensure accurate replication and transmission of DNA to daughter cells during the cycle. Although the genes involved in interphase are well studied, most of the genes which are involved in mitotic events still remain unidentified. Since, the discovery of mitosis related genes is still incomplete, we performed a co-expression and gene ontology analysis for revealing novel mitosis regulated genes. In this study, we showed that C12orf48 is co-expressed with well-known mitotic genes. Moreover, it is also co-expressed with the genes that have roles in interphase such as DNA replication. Furthermore, our results showed that C12orf48 is also differentially expressed in various cancers. Therefore, the results presented in this study suggest that C12orf48 may be an important molecule for both interphase and mitosis. Since, the molecules involved in these mechanisms are crucial for proliferation as well as in carcinogenesis, C12orf48 should be considered as a novel cell cycle and carcinogenesis related gene.

Introduction

The cell cycle is the conserved process from yeast to mammals and focuses on mechanisms that regulate the timing and frequency of DNA replication and cell division. The main function of the cell cycle is to accurately duplicate the entire genome and segregate a copy of each chromosome precisely into two daughter cells. Proper regulation of this process is crucial to the growth and development of all organisms. Therefore, understanding of the regulation of cell cycle is important to gain knowledge of the molecular mechanisms that control DNA replication and accurate segregation of chromosomes to daughter cells which are characteristically aberrant in cancer cells (Ganem *et al.*, 2007).

The regulation of cell division relies on two major mechanisms which are phosphorylation and transcription (Delcuve et al., 2008). However, transcriptional regulation is poorly studied compared to phosphorylation dependent regulation of cell division (Delcuve et al., 2008). Understanding the transcriptional regulation of cell division requires identification of the novel genes that are involved in this process. Since the inventory of division related genes is still incomplete, we have undertaken a co-expression analysis to reveal novel genes that are involved in these processes. Previously, we have reported that Fam83d is a novel mitosis related gene and is differentially expressed in cancer by using in silico approaches (Varisli, 2012). In this study, we performed a similar analysis for C12orf48 and we identified this molecule as a novel division related gene.

C12orf48, encodes a PARP-1 interacting protein (Piao et al., 2011). Although the molecular function of this protein isn't well understood it was shown that it may be involved in recombination process at replication forks and may have proliferation related roles

Department of Biology, Science Faculty, Harran University, Osmanbey Campus, Sanliurfa, Turkey.

E-mail: lokmanv@gmail.com

Received: September 10, 2012. Revised version received: October 20, 2012. Accepted: October 27, 2012.

^{*}Address correspondence to: Lokman Varisli.

12 LOKMAN VARISLI

(Moldovan *et al.*, 2012). In this study, we report that *C12orf48* is co-expressed with mitosis related genes such as *AurkA*, *AurkB*, *Plk-1*, *Plk-4*, *Cdc20*, *Cdk1*, *Nek2*, *Top2A* and CENP family members which are well known genes that have crucial roles not only in different stages of mitosis but also in equal segregation of chromosomes to daughter cells. We also found that, some of the genes that co-expressed with *C12orf48* are involved in other cell cycle related roles such as DNA replication, in concordance with molecular functions of PARP-1. Moreover, our results also show that this gene is differentially expressed in various cancers in concordance with the functions of above-mentioned co-expression partners.

Differentially expressed genes are candidates for diagnosis of cancer and are prognostic markers. Therefore, this article suggests that C12orf48 is a strong candidate for prognostic and diagnostic approaches in cancer and should be further investigated.

Material and Methods

Meta-analysis of C12orf48

To gain insight into the function of C12orf48, coexpression analysis was performed from Oncomine database (http://oncomine.org) as described previously (Varisli, 2012; Wilson, 2008; Wilson and Giguere, 2008) with minor modifications. Threshold was adjusted as p-value <1E-4, fold change; 2 and gene rank; top 1%. 8 different array fulfilled these criteria (Table 1) and the top 200 co-expressed genes were extracted and filtered to give one representative gene per study (removing

TABLE 1.The arrays that were used for co-expression analysis

Array Name
Lee Brain
Zhan myeloma 2
Skrzypczak Colorectal
Carrasco Myeloma
Chapman Myeloma
Giordano Adrenal 2
DErrico Gastric
Wurmbach Liver

duplicates and partial ESTs). These filtered gene lists were then compared for repeating co-expressed genes over multiple studies. The frequency cutoff was 4 studies (≥ 50% of 8 studies). This generated a meta-analysis list for *C12orf48*. The web-based Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov) was used to assess enriched gene ontology terms within the gene lists produced by the co-expression data analysis (Huang da *et al.*, 2009). The results were corrected for multiple testing using Benjamini & Hochberg False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction.

C12orf48 and cancer relationship

Oncomine cancer microarray database was used to study gene expression of *C12orf48* in various tumor types and their normal control tissues. The gene transcriptome data only from the same study generated with the same methodology were used. All gene expression data were log transformed, median centered per array, and standard deviation was normalized to one per array (Rhodes *et al.*, 2004). Student's t test was used for differential expression analysis, and only studies with P value less than 1E-4 and fold change more than two and gene rank 5% were considered, as described previously (Varisli, 2012).

Results

C12orf48 is co-expressed with genes that are involved in mitosis

Using the Oncomine cancer microarray database C12orf48 was searched for co-expressing genes. After meta-analysis, 133 genes were found co-expressed in four or more studies (Table 2). DAVID was used to perform GO Term Enrichment analysis to obtain characteristics of the set of significant genes from our metaanalyses. This analysis provides a list of gene functions, which are over-represented in a gene set. Analysis of the 133 C12orf48 co-expressed genes with the DAVID functional annotation tool (GOTERM BP FAT) resulted 186 GO-categories (cut-off p-value < 0.1, count ≥ 2 , Fold enrichment > 1.5) (data not shown). To receive a more comprehensive and structured view of the annotation terms a DAVID clustering analysis under high stringency conditions was performed resulting in 20 annotation clusters matching the statistical criteria (p < 0.0001, count \geq 20, and fold enrichment > 2.0) (Table

TABLE 2.
C12orf48 co-expressed genes.

1	ANLN	24	CDCA5	47	DSCC1	70	HMMR	93	NCAPG2	116	STIL
2	ATAD2	25	CDCA8	48	DTL	71	KIAA0101	94	NCAPH	117	TACC3
3	AURKA	26	CDK1	49	DTYMK	72	KIF11	95	NDC80	118	TCF19
4	AURKB	27	CDKN3	50	E2F7	73	KIF14	96	NEK2	119	TIMELESS
5	BARD1	28	CDT1	51	E2F8	74	KIF15	97	NUF2	120	TK1
6	BIRC5	29	CENPA	52	ECT2	75	KIF18A	98	NUSAP1	121	TMEM194A
7	BRCA1	30	CENPE	53	ERCC6L	76	KIF18B	99	OIP5	122	TOP2A
8	BUB1	31	CENPF	54	ESPL1	77	KIF20A	100	PBK	123	TPX2
9	BUB1B	32	CENPH	55	EXO1	78	KIF23	101	PHF19	124	TRIP13
10	C11ORF82	33	CENPI	56	EZH2	79	KIF2C	102	PLK1	125	TTK
11	C1ORF112	34	CENPK	57	FAM54A	80	KIF4A	103	PLK4	126	TYMS
12	C4ORF46	35	CENPM	58	FAM83D	81	KIFC1	104	POLE2	127	UBE2C
13	CCDC99	36	CENPN	59	FANCI	82	MAD2L1	105	PRC1	128	UBE2S
14	CCNA2	37	CEP55	60	FBXO5	83	MCM10	106	PTTG1	129	UBE2T
15	CCNB1	38	CHEK1	61	FEN1	84	MCM4	107	RACGAP1	130	UHRF1
16	CCNB2	39	CHML	62	FOXM1	85	MCM6	108	RFC4	131	WEE1
17	CDC20	40	CKAP2L	63	GINS1	86	MCM7	109	RRM2	132	ZWILCH
18	CDC25A	41	CKS1B	64	GINS2	87	MELK	110	SGOL2	133	ZWINT
19	CDC25C	42	DEPDC1	65	GINS4	88	MKI67	111	SHCBP1		
20	CDC45	43	DEPDC1B	66	GPSM2	89	MND1	112	SKA1		
21	CDC6	44	DHFR	67	GTSE1	90	MYBL2	113	SMC4		
22	CDCA2	45	DIAPH3	68	HELLS	91	NCAPD2	114	SPC24		
23	CDCA3	46	DLGAP5	69	HJURP	92	NCAPG	115	SPC25		

3). Subsequently, the aforementioned DAVID annotation tool was used for identification of putative KEGG pathways associated with C12orf48 co-expressed genes. Consequently, four pathways which associated with cell cycle and related signaling pathways were significantly enriched with C12orf48 co-expressed genes (p < 0.0001, count \geq 5 and fold enrichment > 1.5) (Table 4). In addition, DAVID was also used for prediction of putative diseases that are linked with C12orf48 co-expressed genes using Genetic Association Database. The results revealed that breast and colorectal cancers showed significant enrichment of these genes (p<0.05, fold enrichment > 1.5) (Table 5).

C12orf48 is differentially expressed in various cancers

We investigated the expression of *C12orf48* in cancer using publicly available gene expression data using Oncomine (Table 6). *C12orf48* has been found up-regu-

lated in various tumors including breast cancer compared to normal breast (Richardson *et al.*, 2006), in colorectal cancer compared to normal colon or rectum in two independent studies (Sabates-Bellver *et al.*, 2007; Skrzypczak *et al.*, 2010), in hepatocellular carcinoma compared to normal liver (Wurmbach *et al.*, 2007), in lung cancer compared to normal lung (Hou *et al.*, 2010), in nasopharyngeal carcinoma compared to normal nasopharynx (Sengupta *et al.*, 2006), in vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia compared to normal vulva (Santegoets *et al.*, 2007) and in various sarcomas compared to their control normal tissues (Detwiller *et al.*, 2005).

Discussion

The cell division is a complicated cellular process involving extensive functional and structural organiza-

14 LOKMAN VARISLI

TABLE 3. Functional enrichment of *C12orf48* co-expressed genes.

Term	Count	%	P-Value	Fold	FDR
cell cycle	86	64.7	1.20E-77	12.2	8.38E-75
M phase	67	50.4	2.68E-75	22.4	9.32E-73
cell cycle phase	69	51.9	1.58E-71	18.3	3.67E-69
Mitosis	58	43.6	5.67E-71	29	9.87E-69
nuclear division	58	43.6	5.67E-71	29	9.87E-69
M phase of mitotic cell cycle	58	43.6	1.82E-70	28.5	2.53E-68
organelle fission	58	43.6	7.53E-70	27.9	8.73E-68
cell cycle process	74	55.6	1.14E-69	14.4	1.13E-67
mitotic cell cycle	64	48.1	1.32E-66	19	1.15E-64
cell division	54	40.6	2.60E-56	20.1	2.01E-54
chromosome segregation	28	21.1	4.42E-36	38	3.08E-34
regulation of cell cycle	32	24.1	3.08E-23	10.6	1.95E-21
microtubule-based process	28	21.1	9.38E-22	12.2	5.44E-20
DNA replication	24	18	7.47E-20	13.9	4.00E-18
regulation of mitotic cell cycle	22	16.5	2.11E-19	15.9	1.05E-17
DNA metabolic process	32	24.1	7.87E-18	6.96	3.65E-16
microtubule cytoskeleton org.	20	15	4.46E-17	15	1.94E-15
chromosome organization	28	21.1	1.70E-14	6.35	6.95E-13
cytoskeleton organization	23	17.3	4.32E-11	5.8	1.67E-09
cell proliferation	22	16.5	2.98E-10	5.55	1.09E-08

Count, Gene counts involved in that cellular process; %, % ratio of the genes involved in that process; Fold, fold enhancement; FDR, false discovery ratio

TABLE 4.Pathway based enrichment of *C12orf48* co-expressed genes.

Term	Count	%	P-Value	Fold	FDR
Cell cycle	21	15.8	6.30E-23	21.4	1.40E-21
Oocyte meiosis	12	9	3.00E-10	13.9	3.30E-09
Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation	9	6.8	1.90E-07	13.3	1.40E-06
DNA replication	6	4.5	6.50E-06	21.2	3.50E-05

Count, Gene counts involved in that pathway; %, % ratio of the genes involved in that pathway; Fold, fold enhancement; FDR, false discovery ratio

tions in a sequence of highly orchestrated events. The temporal and spatial expression of the genes is tightly regulated to ensure accurate replication and transmission of DNA to daughter cells during cell cycle. Therefore, the expression of many regulator genes changes during different phases of the cell cycle. Although the genes involved in the interphase are well studied, most of the genes which are involved in mitotic events are still unidentified. Therefore, various experimental or *in silico* approaches have been used to identify the novel mitosis related genes. Recently, we reported *Fam83d* as a novel mitosis related gene (Varisli, 2012) when we performed a co-expression and gene ontology analysis to find unidentified mitotic genes.

C12orf48, encodes a PARP-1 interacting protein (Piao et al., 2011). PARP-1 is a multifunctional protein that is involved in DNA repair, maintenance of genomic stability, replication, transcription, telomere dynamics and apoptosis (Hassa and Hottiger, 2008). This mol-

TABLE 5.Disease based enrichment of *C12orf48* co-expressed genes.

Term	Count	%	P-Value	Fold	FDR
breast cancer	12	9	2.13E-06	5.3	1.70E-04
colorectal cancer	6	4.5	1.50E-02	3.8	4.63E-01

Count. Gene counts involved in that disease; %. % ratio of the genes involved in that disease; **Fold**. fold enhancement; **FDR**. false discovery ratio

ecule is normally distributed in whole chromatin in the nucleus (Rouleau et al., 2004). Furthermore, it was shown that PARP-1 also associates with mammalian centrosomes in a cell-cycle dependent manner and interacts with the CENP family members and other mitotic spindle checkpoint proteins (Perdoni et al., 2009; Saxena et al., 2002), thus suggesting that PARP-1 might regulate their function in controlling chromosome segregation and mitosis. The activity of PARP-1 is primarily dependent on its poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation activity. Therefore, the molecules involved in regulation of this activity are probably also involved in all PARP-1 mediated processes. In concordance with this hypothesis we suggested that C12orf48 is probably involved in all PARP-1 dependent mechanisms as this molecule positively regulates the poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation activity of PARP-1 (Piao et al., 2011). In co-expression analysis we have seen that C12orf48 is co-expressed with cell cycle and replication related genes, in concordance with the cellular functions of PARP-1. Moreover, our results have shown that C12orf48 is also co-expressed with important mitotic genes, of which many are found in association with centrosome. Since most of the cell cycle and mitosis related genes are also involved in carcinogenesis, we searched expressional changes of C12orf48 in cancers. In concordance with this hypothesis our results revealed that C12orf48 is differentially expressed in various cancers which have a direct link with centrosome and mitotic abnormalities such as breast (Lingle et al., 1998), lung (Jung et al., 2007) and colon (Nakajima et al., 2004) cancers. Breast cancer is a good model to study the relationship between cancer and mitotic abnormalities since aneuploidy is common.

TABLE 6. Over-expression of C12 or f48 in various cancer types compared to their normal counterparts.

Type	Ref	Fold	P-Value
Breast Ca.	Richardson et al 2006	2.9	6.27E-10
Colorectal Ca.	Skrzypczak et al 2010	2.4	6.05E-13
	Sabates-Bellver et al 2007	2.5	2.28E-8
Liver Ca.	Wurmbach et al 2007	2.1	6.34E-8
Lung Ca.	Hou et al 2010	2.9	1.75E-6
Nasopharyngeal Ca.	Sengupta et al 2006	2.6	1.25E-11
Vulva intra. Neop.	Santegoets et al 2007	2.3	6.7E-5
Fibrosarcoma	Detwiller et al 2005	7.9	4.61E-7
Leimyosarcoma	Detwiller et al 2005	4.3	8.99E-5
Fibrous Histiocytoma	Detwiller et al 2005	8.5	3.24E-7

16 LOKMAN VARISLI

Bièche et al., reported that the expression of 49 known mitotic genes was deregulated in breast tumors compared to normal breast tissues (Bieche et al., 2011). Interestingly, our results revealed that most of these genes are co-expressed with C12orf48. Therefore, we suggest that C12orf48 may be involved in equal segregation of chromosomes during cell division and may have cell cycle dependent roles like its co-expression partners.

Taken together, we performed a meta-analysis for *C12orf48* using *in silico* approaches. Our results have revealed that this molecule may be important for cell cycle and mitotic events and also in carcinogenesis. Therefore, further investigation of the results presented in this study by experimental approaches may increase our understanding of cell cycle, mitosis and carcinogenesis.

Acknowledgment

The author wish to thank Dr. Syed Muhammad Hamid (Izmir Institute of Technology, Turkey) for the language editing of the manuscript.

References

- Bièche I, Vacher S, Lallemand F, Tozlu-Kara S, Bennani H., Beuzelin M, Driouch K, Rouleau E, Lerebours F, Ripoche H, Cizeron-Clairac G, Spyratos F, Lidereau R (2011). Expression analysis of mitotic spindle checkpoint genes in breast carcinoma: role of NDC80/HEC1 in early breast tumorigenicity, and a two-gene signature for aneuploidy. *Molecular Cancer* 10: 23.
- Delcuve GP, He S, Davie JR (2008). Mitotic partitioning of transcription factors. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* **105**: 1-8.
- Detwiller KY, Fernando NT, Segal NH, Ryeom SW, D'Amore PA, Yoon SS (2005). Analysis of hypoxia-related gene expression in sarcomas and effect of hypoxia on RNA interference of vascular endothelial cell growth factor A. *Cancer Research* **65**: 5881-5889.
- Ganem NJ, Storchova Z, Pellman D (2007). Tetraploidy, aneuploidy and cancer. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* 17: 157-162.
- Hassa PO, Hottiger MO (2008). The diverse biological roles of mammalian PARPS, a small but powerful family of poly-ADP-ribose polymerases. *Frontiers in Bioscience* **13:** 3046-3082.
- Hou J, Aerts J, den Hamer B, van Ijcken W, den Bakker M, Riegman P, van der Leest C, van der Spek P, Foekens JA, Hoogsteden HC, Grosveld F, Philipsen S (2010). Gene expression-based classification of non-small cell lung carcinomas and survival prediction. *PLoS One* 5: e10312.
- Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols* **4:** 44-57.
- Jung CK, Jung JH, Lee KY, Kang CS, Kim M, Ko YH, Oh CS (2007). Centrosome abnormalities in non-small cell lung cancer: correlations with DNA aneuploidy and expression of cell cycle regulatory proteins. *Pathology- Research and Practice* 203: 839-847.
- Lingle WL, Lutz WH, Ingle JN, Maihle NJ, Salisbury JL (1998). Centrosome hypertrophy in human breast tumors: implica-

tions for genomic stability and cell polarity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the Sciences (USA)* **95:** 2950-2955.

- Moldovan GL, Dejsuphong D, Petalcorin MI, Hofmann K, Takeda S, Boulton SJ, D'Andrea AD (2012). Inhibition of homologous recombination by the PCNA-interacting protein PARI. *Molecular Cell* **45:** 75-86.
- Nakajima T, Moriguchi M, Mitsumoto Y, Sekoguchi S, Nishikawa T, Takashima H, Watanabe T, Katagishi T, Kimura H, Okanoue T, Kagawa K (2004). Centrosome aberration accompanied with p53 mutation can induce genetic instability in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Modern Pathology* 17: 722-727.
- Perdoni F, Bottone MG, Soldani C, Veneroni P, Alpini C, Pellicciari C, Scovassi AI (2009). Distribution of centromeric proteins and PARP-1 during mitosis and apoptosis. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1171:** 32-37.
- Piao L, Nakagawa H, Ueda K, Chung S, Kashiwaya K, Eguchi H, Ohigashi H, Ishikawa O, Daigo Y, Matsuda K, Nakamura Y (2011). C12orf48, termed PARP-1 binding protein, enhances poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP-1) activity and protects pancreatic cancer cells from DNA damage. Genes Chromosomes and Cancer 50: 13-24.
- Rhodes DR, Yu J, Shanker K, Deshpande N, Varambally R, Ghosh D, Barrette T, Pandey A, Chinnaiyan AM (2004). ONCOMINE: a cancer microarray database and integrated data-mining platform. *Neoplasia* 6: 1-6.
- Richardson AL, Wang ZC, De Nicolo A, Lu X, Brown M, Miron A, Liao X, Iglehart JD, Livingston DM, Ganesan S (2006). X chromosomal abnormalities in basal-like human breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 9: 121-132.
- Rouleau M, Aubin RA, Poirier GG (2004). Poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated chromatin domains: access granted. *Journal of Cell Science* 117: 815-825.
- Sabates-Bellver J, Van der Flier LG, de Palo M, Cattaneo E, Maake C, Rehrauer H, Laczko E, Kurowski MA, Bujnicki JM, Menigatti M, Luz J, Ranalli TV, Gomes V, Pastorelli A, Faggiani R, Anti M, Jiricny J, Clevers H, Marra G (2007). Transcriptome profile of human colorectal adenomas. *Molecular Cancer Research* 5: 1263-1275.
- Santegoets LA, Seters M, Helmerhorst TJ, Heijmans-Antonissen C, Hanifi-Moghaddam P, Ewing PC, van Ijcken WF, van der Spek PJ, van der Meijden WI, Blok LJ (2007). HPV related VIN: highly proliferative and diminished responsiveness to extracellular signals. *International Journal of Cancer* **121:** 759-766.
- Saxena A, Saffery R, Wong LH, Kalitsis P, Choo KH (2002). Centromere proteins Cenpa, Cenpb, and Bub3 interact with poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 protein and are poly(ADP-ribosyl)ated. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277: 26921-26926.
- Sengupta S, den Boon JA, Chen IH, Newton MA, Dahl DB, Chen M, Cheng YJ, Westra WH, Chen CJ, Hildesheim A, Sugden B, Ahlquist P (2006). Genome-wide expression profiling reveals EBV-associated inhibition of MHC class I expression in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Research* **66**: 7999-8006.
- Skrzypczak M, Goryca K, Rubel T, Paziewska A, Mikula M, Jarosz D, Pachlewski J, Oledzki J, Ostrowski J (2010). Modeling oncogenic signaling in colon tumors by multidirectional analyses of microarray data directed for maximization of analytical reliability. *PLoS One* 5.
- Varisli L (2012). Meta-analysis of the expression of the mitosis-related Fam83D. *Oncology Letters* **4:** 1335-1340.
- Wilson BJ (2008). Meta-analysis of SUMO1. BMC Research Notes 1: 60.
- Wilson BJ, Giguere V (2008). Meta-analysis of human cancer microarrays reveals GATA3 is integral to the estrogen receptor alpha pathway. *Molecular Cancer* 7: 49.
- Wurmbach E, Chen YB, Khitrov G, Zhang W, Roayaie S, Schwartz M, Fiel I, Thung S, Mazzaferro V, Bruix J, Bottinger E, Friedman S, Waxman S, Llovet JM (2007). Genome-wide molecular profiles of HCV-induced dysplasia and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* **45:** 938-947.