

Doi:10.32604/cmc.2025.064971

ARTICLE





Image Watermarking Algorithm Base on the Second Order Derivative and Discrete Wavelet Transform

Maazen Alsabaan¹, Zaid Bin Faheem², Yuanyuan Zhu² and Jehad Ali^{3,*}

¹Department of Computer Engineering, College of Computer and Information Sciences (CCIS), King Saud University, Riyadh, 11451, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Computer Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430000, China

³Department of AI Convergence Network, Ajou University, Suwon, 16499, Republic of Korea

*Corresponding Author: Jehad Ali. Email: jehadali@ajou.ac.kr

Received: 28 February 2025; Accepted: 29 April 2025; Published: 09 June 2025

ABSTRACT: Image watermarking is a powerful tool for media protection and can provide promising results when combined with other defense mechanisms. Image watermarking can be used to protect the copyright of digital media by embedding a unique identifier that identifies the owner of the content. Image watermarking can also be used to verify the authenticity of digital media, such as images or videos, by ascertaining the watermark information. In this paper, a mathematical chaos-based image watermarking technique is proposed using discrete wavelet transform (DWT), chaotic map, and Laplacian operator. The DWT can be used to decompose the image into its frequency components, chaos is used to provide extra security defense by encrypting the watermark signal, and the Laplacian operator with optimization is applied to the mid-frequency bands to find the sharp areas in the image. These mid-frequency bands are used to embed the watermarks by modifying the coefficients in these bands. The mid-sub-band maintains the invisible property of the watermark, and chaos combined with the second-order derivative Laplacian is vulnerable to attacks. Comprehensive experiments demonstrate that this approach is effective for common signal processing attacks, i.e., compression, noise addition, and filtering. Moreover, this approach also maintains image quality through peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and structural similarity index metrics (SSIM). The highest achieved PSNR and SSIM values are 55.4 dB and 1. In the same way, normalized correlation (NC) values are almost 10%–20% higher than comparative research. These results support assistance in copyright protection in multimedia content.

KEYWORDS: Discrete wavelet transform; laplacian; image watermarking; chaos; multimedia security

1 Introduction

There have been significant technological advances in communication over the past few decades, which have revolutionized the way of communication. These advancements remove the communication gap, reduce cost, make media available, and improve quality [1]. Digital media security has become a new attempt in the digital market. With rapid technology and digital media, there are more opportunities for cybercriminals to exploit vulnerabilities and gain unauthorized access to sensitive information. It becomes a constant danger for content owners whose business depends on digital content [2,3]. To ensure the security of digital media, individuals and organizations should take measures such as using strong passwords, keeping software up-to-date, avoiding suspicious links and emails, and using encryption and other security technologies [4,5].

Digital watermarking and cryptography are domains that provide security services, i.e., copyright protection, data authentication, and tamper detection. Digital watermarking involves embedding a hidden,



Copyright © 2025 The Authors. Published by Tech Science Press.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

permanent digital signal within a multimedia file to safeguard copyright and deter unauthorized distribution [6,7]. Cryptography is the field of security that provides confidentiality, integrity, and authenticity [8]. Its main purpose is to convert plain text into a secure and unreadable format using secret keys, called cipher text, which can be decrypted back into the original message only by authorized parties with access to the correct key, as shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Simple watermark process

Digital watermarking provides a solution to digital data theft and duplication. Digital watermarking can be applied to various types of media, including text, images, audio, and video. The focus of this research is only on image watermarking. Digital image watermarking is a method used to insert a digital watermark signal into an image to protect it from copyright problems and prove the authenticity of the image [9,10]. Digital watermarking techniques can be classified by their embedding method and the information they carry. Spatial domain watermarking directly modifies the pixel values, often by altering the least significant bits. This approach is simple and fast, but can be susceptible to image manipulation attacks [11].

The frequency domain watermark embeds the watermark within the frequency components of the image. The frequency domain commonly includes the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) [12], the Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) [13], and the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) [14]. The opposition of the frequency domain to typical image processing operations such as filtering, compression, cropping, scaling, and rotation makes it more durable than spatial domain watermarking [15]. Table 1 shows a small domain comparison of the image watermarking. Moreover, blind and semi-blind are types of watermarking. Blind watermarking is a procedure used to pull out watermarks from images or videos without requiring the original content. In this technique, the watermark is wrapped so that it becomes invisible to the naked eye. The extraction of the watermark can be done using only the watermarked content. This technique is often used in applications where the original content is not available or where the ownership of the content needs to be verified. In contrast, a semi-blind type of watermarking is a technique that needs some information about the original content. These features can be used to extract the watermark is embedded using some features of the original content. These features can be used to extract the watermark. However, even if the original material is unavailable, the watermark can still be recognized and retrieved. This technique is often used in applications where a certain level of security is required and the ownership of the content needs to be verified.

1.1 Watermarking Characteristics

As shown in Fig. 2, a number of characteristics must be satisfied for a watermark system to be considered a performance measure. The strength of the watermarking technique depends on these characteristics compared to the already-defined techniques.

Watermark embedding domain	Pros	Cons
DWT	Strong resilience to filtering and compression attacks, transform coefficient manipulation	Less resilience against geometrical attacks
DCT	Strong resilience to filtering and compression attacks, better for low-cost hardware	Less robustness against geometrical attacks
Spatial	High embedding capacity, low computation, direct pixel manipulation, invisible	Less robustness against image processing attacks, not vulnerable





Figure 2: Characteristics of watermarking

Imperceptibility: The watermark should be imperceptible to the human eye. This means that the watermark should not significantly degrade the quality of multimedia content. The degree to which a watermark may be inserted into an image without being visible to the human eye or degrading the image's visual quality is known as imperceptibility in watermarking. The goal of imperceptibility is to ensure that the presence of the watermark does not degrade the quality of the image. Thus, in order to build a successful image watermarking system, imperceptibility and resilience need major considerations.

Robustness: The watermark should be robust against various attacks, such as noise, filtering, and compression. The watermark should still be detectable and recognizable after the content has undergone

these types of transformations. In general, robustness is an important factor to consider in image watermarking because it can determine the effectiveness of the watermark in identifying ownership or detecting unauthorized use of an image. However, achieving robustness often comes at the cost of imperceptibility, so finding the right balance between robustness and imperceptibility is crucial in designing an effective image watermarking technique.

Capacity: The watermark needs to maintain high capacity, which allows sufficient information embedding. A watermarking technique's capacity reaches a maximum while facing bounds from three main factors, which consist of the image size and complexity, as well as the watermark embedding strategy and the required watermark robustness and imperceptibility levels. The use of LSB (Least Significant Bit) insertion in spatial domain watermarking obtains high embedding capacity but lacks protection against cropping or image compression attacks. The capacity of watermarking systems remains lower when using frequency domain methods like DWT, although they provide better robustness against attacks.

As technology advances, there is a need to secure digital content on the Internet. The free movement of digital media makes it insecure. In the early stages, there was a need to invent new technology, but now there is a need to secure technology from attackers. In today's digital world, watermarking is essential for verifying the authenticity and integrity of digital content. The protection of digital image data requires efficient and robust image watermarking solutions to be designed. Spatial domain watermarking provides strong resistance to filtering together with compression and cropping and rotation attacks. Watermarking in the frequency domain provides high capacity along with minimal visual distortion and robustness to compression and scaling attacks. This study develops a method for image watermarking using the DWT and Laplacian operator. DWT is a frequency domain technique that has more complexity but gives strong robustness against attacks. While the Laplacian is a high-pass filter that enhances edges [16]. It can be used in image watermarking to make the watermark more perceptually significant and less susceptible to attacks targeting smooth regions of the image. The DWT can be used to decompose the image into its frequency components, and the Laplacian operator can be applied to the mid-frequency subbands to extract the edges in the image. By altering the frequency coefficients, the watermark was included in the mid-frequency subbands. Here, DWT is combined with Laplacian instead of SVD(Singular value decomposition), and SVD has a false positive problem [15].

1.2 Main Contributions

- Design an image watermarking technique using DWT, Chaos, and Laplacian operators. This hybrid embedding helps improve imperceptibility and robustness.
- A watermark encryption process utilizing the Piecewise Linear Chaotic Map (PWLCM) is integrated prior to embedding, introducing strong key-dependency and enhancing confidentiality. This significantly improves the system's resistance to unauthorized extraction, detection, and tampering.
- DWT is a frequency domain technique for image watermarking and has high robustness against various attacks, as discussed in Section 3.1. In DWT-based image watermarking, the mid-frequency subbands (HL and LH) offer an effective trade-off between imperceptibility and robustness. This study further enhances the performance of watermark embedding by strategically utilizing these mid-frequency components in conjunction with advanced optimization techniques.
- Laplacian operator is used to optimize the image watermarking process by enhancing the selection of the embedding area based on the content of the image. The proposed method leverages the Laplacian operator to analyze mid-frequency subbands and precisely identify edge-rich regions to embed the watermark. This focused localization improves robustness against attacks such as filtering, compression, and noise, while maintaining high visual fidelity in smooth regions of the image.

The paper is laid out as follows: Section 2 elaborates on the literature study, Section 3 elaborates on the prerequisites, Section 4 elaborates on the methodology, and Section 5 elaborates on the results and discussion.

2 Literature Review

Historically, multiple-image watermarking strategies have been designed for better robustness and imperceptibility. Zhong et al. [17] design an image watermarking using a deep neural network that fully exploits the deep neural fitting ability. The learning process is achieved in an unsupervised way to minimize the outer intervention. The method gives favorable results against common image processing attacks at the cost of high computational overhead. Singh et al. [18] suggested a safe method of image watermarking for transmissions across the Internet of Medical Things (IoMT). The technique relies on the region of interest and the least significant bit to detect tampering and ensure precise recovery of watermark signals in medical images. Moreover, it used Huffman coding for high confidentiality and made the implementation more complex. Abraham et al. [19] implemented watermarking using the time domain technique, but the watermark information was not incorporated in a temporal sequence fashion. In order to maintain high robustness and image quality watermark information is spread throughout the whole image. Singh et al. [20] introduced a chaos-based image watermarking strategy for copyright protection. The technique uses DWT and SVD along with the Arnold cat map for content authentication. The literature technique is blind and robust to common image-processing attacks. Al-Afandy et al. [21] implement DWT+DCT-based invisible image watermarking approach. The goal is to achieve better PSNR and NC values. Sinhal et al. [22] proposed a technique to resist several image processing attacks, including noise, filtering, compression, and cropping resizing attacks. The technique is based on ANN(Artificial Neural Network), IWT(Integer wavelet transform), and YCbCr channels. Moosazadeh et al. [23] proposed teaching learning and DCT based approach for effective watermarking. The nature-inspired optimization makes suitable positions for effective watermarking. Ahmadi et al. [24] implement authentication and copyright protection-based image watermarking using DWT, SVD, and PSO(Particle Swarm Optimization). This study [25] proposes an innovative cryptographic framework based on chaotic transformations, which is conceptually aligned with the S-box encryption approach used in this paper. Integrating its principles may enhance the security layer of the watermarking process. This paper [26] presents a biologically inspired encryption model using hyperchaotic maps and single-neuron dynamics, offering an alternative direction for chaos-based image protection that complements the current watermarking mechanism. Teoh et al. [27] introduced an image watermarking method that integrates singular value decomposition, discrete wavelet transform, and human visual system principles. The approach aims to enhance robustness against image manipulation and geometric attacks while maintaining high visual quality, addresses false positives and scaling sensitivity issues common in SVD-based watermarking. Mokhnache et al. [28] introduce a robust image watermarking technique that combines DWT and DCT with image gradient analysis to enhance watermark robustness and imperceptibility. The approach strategically embeds the watermark in specific regions of the image based on gradient evaluation, effectively resisting various attacks, i.e., noising, compression, and geometrical transformations. The results demonstrate improved performance in both watermark visibility and resilience compared to existing methods. Table 2 shows the literature data on the image watermarking techniques. The use of robust and fragile watermarking gives a new direction to image protection and attack survival.

Ref. #	Objective	Method	Spot	Assessment	Туре
[9]	Enhance watermarking	Edge Detection +LSB+S-box	Grayscale	PSNR, SSIM, NC	Non-blind
	imperceptibility			00111,110	
[29]	Robust color image	Contourlet+Canny	RGB	PSNR,	Semi-blind
	watermarking with high imperceptibility	Edge+GA+NN		SSIM, NC, BER	
[17]	Deep neural	Specialized Deep	RGB	PSNR, BER	Blind
	network-based robust	Learning Neural			
[10]	image watermarking	Network		DOM	D1: 1
[18]	LSB-based watermarking	ROI+LSB &	Grayscale	PSNR,	Blind
	medical images	KONI+DW I+SVD		5511VI, DEK	
[19]	Spatial domain-based	LSB+Region	RGB	NC	Non-blind
	robust image	Selection			
[20]	watermarking		C	DOMD NIC	D1: 1
[20]	for convright protection	DW1+5VD+DC1	Grayscale	PSNK, NC	Blind
[21]	Implement invisible	DWT+DCT	RGB	PSNR. NC	Blind
[=1]	watermarking	2111201	RGD	10111,110	Diniu
[22]	Authentication of color	IWT, DCT, ANN	Y-Channel	PSNR, NC,	Blind
	media content			SSIM	
[23]	Implement strong image watermarking technique	Teaching-learning	Y-Cb-Cr	NC, PSNR	Blind
[24]	Enhanced authentication	DWT+SVD+PSO	RGB Space	Space, Time, PSNR	Blind
[27]	Solving the false-positive	HVS+DWT+SVD	Gravscale	NC. PSNR	Blind
[_,]	problem in existing	11/0/2//1/0/2		1, 0, 101,11	
	algorithms				
[28]	Using DWT, DCT, and	DWT+DCT	Grayscale	NC, PSNR,	Non-Blind
	image gradient for better			BER	
	robustness and				
	imperceptibility				

Table 2: Literature analysis

3 Prerequisites

3.1 Discrete Wavelet Transform

It is a statistical approach utilized to analyze and process signals, including digital images, audio signals, and data from various sources. Break down a signal into a collection of wavelets, which are brief oscillations with varying frequencies and durations, as illustrated in Fig. 3. All four subbands provide different information about the image texture, i.e., the LL subband provides smooth region information, the LH subband provides horizontal edge information, HL subband provides vertical edge information, and the HH subband provides high frequency information, as shown in Fig. 3. The DWT is a type of Fourier

transform, but unlike the Fourier transform, it has a time-frequency resolution, which makes it well-suited for analyzing nonstationary signals. The DWT works by passing the data through a series of screens, called analysis screens, which are designed to extract information at different scales. The signal is then down-sampled, which reduces the number of samples by a factor of two. This process is repeated recursively on the resulting low-pass and high-pass components until a desired level of decomposition is achieved.



Figure 3: First level DWT

3.2 Laplacian Operator

The Laplacian operator is a common image processing tool used to highlight edges and boundaries. As a second-order derivative operator, it emphasizes regions of rapid change in image intensity.

The Laplacian operator is usually applied as a convolution operation in the image, using a kernel or operator mask that is centered at each pixel location in the image, as shown in Fig. 4. The operator kernel typically has the shape of a square or a circular disk, and the coefficients of the kernel determine the strength of the operator.



Figure 4: Laplacian operator masks. (a) 4-Neighbor Laplacian Mask. (b) 8-Neighbor Laplacian Mask

3.3 Chaotic Substitution Box

A chaotic substitution box (also known as a chaotic S-box) is a cryptographic technique used to encrypt data. It involves using a substitution box (S-box) that is generated through a chaotic system. In cryptography, an S-box is a table that maps a certain number of input bits to a certain number of output bits. An S-box is used to create confusion in a cryptographic algorithm, making it more difficult to decipher.

A chaotic S-box is generated by using a chaotic map, which is an inescapable system that exhibits sensitive dependence on initial conditions. Chaotic systems are characterized by their unpredictability, and their behavior can appear random. The S-box is built using a series of numbers that are produced by the chaotic system. The resulting S-box is highly nonlinear and exhibits good cryptographic properties, making it difficult to analyze and break. One advantage of using a chaotic S-box is that it provides greater security than traditional S-boxes, which are typically constructed using mathematical functions such as the discrete logarithm or the modular exponentiation. Chaotic S-boxes are also resistant to attacks such as differential

and linear cryptanalysis. In this work, an S-box predicated on a piecewise linear chaotic map (PWLCM) was used to encrypt the watermark signal and improve the security of the watermark [30].

An expression in mathematics is used to denote PWLCM.

$$x_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{y_n}{k}, & 0 \le y_n < k \\ \frac{(y_n - k)}{(0.5 - k)}, & k \le y_n < 0.5 \\ \frac{(1 - k - y_n)}{(0.5 - k)}, & 0.5 < y_n < 1 - k \\ \frac{(1 - y_n)}{k}, & 1 - k < y_n < 1.0 \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $y_0 \in [0, 1)$ and $k \in (0, 0.5)$.

The randomness in the generated sequence creates more security during the scrambling watermark.

4 Materials and Methods

The process of image watermarking is to embed digital watermarks into images for various purposes, such as copyright protection, authentication, and ownership verification. There are two phases in watermarking. Watermark extraction and embedding as shown in Fig. 5. During watermark embedding, the cover image's balance attribute is preserved. In watermark retrieval, the hidden data is retrieved from the modified host data while maintaining the noise correlation property.



Figure 5: Proposed image watermarking diagram

4.1 Host Image Division

In this phase, apply DWT to the original host image and divide it into multiple subbands. Choose the sub-band based on the requirement and divide it into 16×16 non-over lapping sub-blocks as shown in Fig. 6.

4.2 Selection of Embedding Region

In image watermarking, a crucial step involves choosing the specific area of the image where the watermark will be embedded to ensure it remains imperceptible and robust. In the proposed method, a Laplacian operator combined with optimization techniques is employed to identify the most effective region

1 2 ...

to embed the watermark signal. Moreover, a watermark signal is added as an extra element, and the chance of visual disturbance is maximum, so it is essential to choose the image portion carefully. The Laplacian, a second-order derivative, is utilized to insert secret data by leveraging the local features of the image. In prominent features (sharp edges), strong watermarks are embedded without affecting the perceptual quality of the image as shown in Fig. 7. This adaptiveness ensures that the secret data is less noticeable in low-frequency (smooth) areas but robust in textured (high-frequency) areas. In the proposed technique, the following procedure was followed to embed the watermark signal in the original content to overcome perceptual disturbance.



Figure 6: Host image decomposition



Figure 7: Region selection within block

Step 1: Load the original image and apply pre-processing.

Step 2: After the pre-processing stage, the Laplacian filter is applied to the image to find the best possible positions for embedding.

Step 3: The selection of the embedding area is based on the value of M and λ .

The Magnitude is described as

$$M = \sqrt{g_y^2 + g_x^2}$$

where g_y and g_x describe the magnitude of the vertical and horizontal gradient. The direction is described as

$$\lambda = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{g_y}{g_x}\right)$$

Case 1: M > (max (magnitude)/2) less bits embedded in the image. Case 2: M <= (max (magnitude)/2) more bits embedded in the image.

4.3 Watermark Embedding Process

This is the most critical part of the image watermarking process. Researchers try to find multiple patterns to embed watermarks. The security of the whole watermarking process relies on the most suitable pattern to embed the watermark. The diagrammatically embedding scenario depicted in Fig. 8 and the procedure is shown in Algorithm 1.



Figure 8: Watermarking embedding flow chart

The following steps describe the process of embedding a watermark:

Step#1: Choose the host grayscale image from the standard SIPI image data collection from the repository of Southern California University.

Step#2: Perform the first level of DWT and decompose the signal into LL, HL, LH, and HH subbands.

Step#3: Choose middle-range subbands and partition them into 16×16 blocks.

$$K = \{K_1(16 \times 16), K_2(16 \times 16), \dots, K_N(16 \times 16)\}$$
(2)

Step#4: Apply the Laplacian operator to each block to detect variations within the image segment. This finds the suitable portion of the block to embed the watermark signal.

Step#5: Take a watermark signal image, and then a chaotic substitution box disorder the watermark signal image.

Step#6: Embed the watermark signal in the HL and LH subbands using the process discussed in Section 4.2 and the alpha blending technique to control the embedding mechanism.

$$WMI = k^*(HL) + q^*(WM1)$$

(3)

where WMI is the watermarked image, HL and LH are the mid-frequency bands of the original host image, WM1 is the watermark component, and *k*, *q* are the scaling components.

Step#7: Apply the inverse DWT and construct the watermarked image.

Algorithm 1: `	Vatermark embedding using DWT, laplacian, and chaotic encryption
Doguina Hast	image I Watermark W Planding factors & B Chaptic man personator

Require: Host image *I*, Watermark *W*, Blending factors α , β , Chaotic map parameter *k* **Ensure:** Watermarked image I_w

1: Apply 1-level DWT to $I \rightarrow$ get subbands: *LL*, *HL*, *LH*, *HH*

2: Divide *HL* and *LH* into 16 × 16 non-overlapping blocks

3: for each block in *HL* and *LH* do

4: Apply the Laplacian operator to find high-edge regions

5: Select regions with strong features for embedding

6: end for

7: Encrypt watermark *W* using chaotic substitution box (PWLCM with parameter *k*)

8: Embed encrypted watermark into selected HL and LH regions:

 $HL' = \alpha \cdot HL + \beta \cdot W_e, \quad LH' = \alpha \cdot LH + \beta \cdot W_e$

9: Apply inverse DWT using LL, HL', LH', and HH to get watermarked image I_{w}

4.4 Watermark Extraction

To prove the authenticity of the image watermarking technique, watermark mining is performed to safely extract the watermark signal. Multiple attacks are performed during image transformation, but the robust image watermarking technique saves the embedded watermark. Later on, this watermark was extracted to prove the ownership of the image data. The extraction procedure is shown in Algorithm 2.

Step#1: Load the received watermarked image, which might be affected by attacks.

Step#2: Perform the first level of DWT and decompose the signal into LL, HL, LH, and HH subbands. Step#3: Choose middle-range subbands and partition it into 16×16 blocks.

$$K = \{K_1(16 \times 16), K_2(16 \times 16), \dots, K_N(16 \times 16)\}$$
(4)

Step#4: Retrieve the watermark image from the HL and LH subbands using the process discussed in Section 4.2 and by applying the alpha blending method.

 $EW = (WMI - k^*HL)$

where EW is the pull-out watermark signal, WMI is the watermarked image.

Step#5: Descramble the retrieved watermark using a chaotic substitution box.

Step#6: Combine the retrieved watermark blocks in order to regenerate the watermark image.

Algorithm 2: Watermark extraction

Require: Watermarked image I_w , Original HL and LH bands, Blending factors α , β , Chaotic map parameter k

Ensure: Extracted watermark W_r

1: Apply 1-level DWT to $I_w \rightarrow$ get subbands: LL, HL', LH', HH

2: Divide HL' and LH' into 16 × 16 blocks

3: **for** each block in *HL*′ and *LH*′ **do**

4: Estimate embedded watermark:

$$W_e = \frac{HL' - \alpha \cdot HI}{\beta}$$

5: end for

6: Descramble W_e using same chaotic key (PWLCM with k) to obtain W_r

7: Reconstruct watermark image from blocks

5 Performance Measures in Watermarking

The software requirement to implement the proposed DWT + Laplacian technique i. Matlab 2017b software ii. SIPI image data collection from the Southern California University (http://sipi.usc.edu, accessed on 1 September 2024). iii. Windows operating system. The hardware specification for implementing the technique i. Core i-5 processor ii. Ram 8 GB iii. 6th generation Dell Inspiron machine. The host image size of 512×512 and the watermark image of size 32×32 are used for watermark embedding and extraction. The quality of the proposed image watermarking technique is tested in different experiments. The most commonly used testing criteria in literature for watermarking techniques are PSNR, SSIM [31] and normalized correlation (NC) [32]. PSNR and SSIM values assess the resemblance between the host and obtained watermarked images, while the NC value evaluates the robustness of the extracted watermark.

5.1 Visual Quality Measure

Embedding watermark bits into the original image alters its visual appearance. This perceptual quality measures values calculated by PSNR and SSIM. The PSNR values determine the noise values added during the embedding procedure. The effective watermarking technique shows no significant effect on the visual quality of the watermarked image compared to the original image. The SSIM value measures the changes on the pixel level closely. The SSIM value ranges from [-1, 1].

(5)

The standard calculation for mean, standard deviation and covariance are shown in the equations below.

$$\mu_x = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K x_i, \quad \mu_y = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K y_i$$
(6)

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{i=1}^K (x_i - \bar{x}^2), \quad \sigma_y^2 = \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{i=1}^K (y_i - \bar{y}^2)$$
(7)

$$\sigma_{xy}^2 = \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{i=1}^{K} (x_i - \bar{x}) (y_i - \bar{y})$$
(8)

where the $\mu_x \mu_y$ is the mean value of *x* variable and *y*. The $\sigma_x^2 \sigma_y^2$ variance denotation of *x* variable and *y*. The σ_{xy} covariance of *x* variable and *y*.

The SSIM calculations are represented in (9).

$$SSIM(x, y) = \frac{(2\mu_x\mu_y + c1)(2\sigma_{xy} + c2)}{(\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + c1)(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + c2)}$$
(9)

The PSNR value can be used for structural measurement. The PSNR equation for measurement is given below.

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{255^2}{MSE} \right)$$
(10)

where the value 255 is the maximum intensity value of a given grayscale image.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{JxH} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{h=1}^{H} e(j,h)^2$$
(11)

where $J \times H$ is actual dimension of image while the expression $\sigma_e(j,h)^2$ is the host and watermarked image difference.

To make a perceptual difference between the host and watermarked images is shown in Fig. 9. The PSNR value of multiple images by the proposed technique is shown in Table 3. The perceptual criterion of the proposed technique is nearly equal to the host image. The difference between host and watermarked images is hard to find; the watermark is imperceptible, and attackers are confused about watermarked images.

5.2 Embedding Capacity Analysis

In the proposed image watermarking algorithm using DWT, chaotic encryption, and Laplacian operator, the embedding capacity is indirectly referenced based on image and watermark sizes used in the experiments. Host image size: $512 \times 512 = 262,144$ pixels, while watermark image size: $32 \times 32 = 1024$ bits (assuming 1 bit per pixel). Table 4 shows the comparative analysis of embedding capacity. Table 5 reports the embedding and extraction execution time of the overall approach with a 512×512 grayscale image. However, we acknowledge that this work doesn't include a chart comparing runtime to image size or a thorough benchmark against current real-time and hardware-accelerated methods.

Embedding Capacity =
$$\left(\frac{\text{Number of Embedded Bits}}{\text{Total Number of Pixels}}\right) \times 100\%$$
 (12)



Figure 9: (a) Original pepper image (b) Watermark image (c) Original baboon image (d) Watermark image (e) Watermarked pepper image (f) Scramble watermark image (g) Watermarked baboon image (h) Scramble watermark image

Table 3: Performance measure without attack

Image quality parameters	Baboon (prop.)	Baboon [33]	Pepper (prop.)	Pepper [33]	Barbara (prop.)	Jet plane (prop.)	Fishing boat (prop.)
PSNR	54.2	48.7	55.4	48.9	52	55.2	54
SSIM	1	0.99	1	0.99	1	1	1

Table 4: Embedding	capacity	by tec	hnique
--------------------	----------	--------	--------

Technique	Typical embedding capacity	Comments	Reference
Least Significant Bit (LSB)	High (up to 100%)	High capacity, low robustness against attacks	Singh et al. [18]
DWT (Discrete Wavelet Transform)	Medium (0.1%–5%)	Strong robustness, especially against compression and filtering	Singh et al. [20]

Table 4	(continued)
---------	-------------

Technique	Typical embedding capacity	Comments	Reference
DCT (Discrete Cosine Transform)	Medium (0.5%–2%)	Better for low-cost hardware, resistant to filtering/compression	Al-Afandy et al. [21]
SVD (Singular Value Decomposition)	Low to Medium	Robust to geometrical attacks but may suffer false positives	Teoh et al. [27]
Hybrid DWT + Laplacian + Chaos (Proposed)	~0.39% (1024 bits in 512 × 512)	Strong resistance to attacks, good imperceptibility	Proposed method

Table 5:	Embedd	ing and	extraction	time	(sec))
----------	--------	---------	------------	------	-------	---

Technique	Embedding process	Extraction process	Total time
Li et al. [34]	0.3035	0.2184	0.5219
Wang et al. [35]	1.3675	2.6803	4.0478
Proposed	0.84	0.67	1.51

5.3 Robustness Criteria

The ability of a watermark technique to resist attacks is called robustness. NC is the parameter used to assess the watermark's robustness. The NC value basically matches the secret embedded watermark and the extracted watermark from the watermarked image. The NC values range between 0 and 1; a value close to 1 means the watermark is robust to attacks. The robustness analysis of the proposed approach with sample attacks is shown in Table 6. The standard NC calculation criteria are given in Eq. (13).

NC =	$\frac{\sum_{j} W_{jk} \sum_{k} W'_{jk}}{\pi}$	(13))
	$\sum_{j}\sum_{k}(W_{jk})^2$		

where W_{jk} , W_{jk}' is the original and watermark image.

Watermarked image attacks	Normalized correlation (NC)			
	Intensity	Baboon	Pepper	
S&P Noising	0.01	0.9820	0.9840	
S&P Noising	0.02	0.9780	0.9800	
S&P Noising	0.03	0.9710	0.9760	
S&P Noising	0.5	0.9610	0.9680	
S&P Noising	0.8	0.9400	0.9460	
Speckle Noising	0.04	0.9660	0.9680	
Speckle Noising	0.05	0.9410	0.9460	

Watermarked image attacks	Norma	lized correlatio	n (NC)
	Intensity	Baboon	Pepper
Gaussian Noising	0.001	0.9980	0.9990
Gaussian Noising	0.003	0.9920	0.9940
Gaussian Noising	0.10	0.9750	0.9780
Median Filtering	[3,3]	0.9820	0.9870
Median Filtering	[5,5]	0.9580	0.9645
JPEG Compress	10%	0.9080	0.9120
JPEG Compress	20%	0.9500	0.9490
JPEG Compress	75%	0.9900	0.9910
Cropping	25%	1.0000	1.0000
Cropping	50%	0.9940	0.9980

5.4 Performance Limitations

The proposed method shows excellent resistance to signal processing attacks including Gaussian noise (with NC exceeding 0.99) as well as JPEG compression (NC surpasses 0.95) and median filtering (NC reaches 0.96), but its performance decreases in specific extreme situations. The watermark becomes difficult or essentially impossible to recover when the intensity of speckle noise exceeds 0.4 because it leads to a substantial decrease of the NC value down to 0.42. Speckle noise behaves as multiplicative noise to generate distortion from both smooth and textured areas and thus affects the mid-frequency subbands (HL and LH) where the watermark exists. Multiple noise attacks are shown in Fig. 10. The combined effects of multiple attacks, such as noise and cropping or noise and filtering, result in a decrease in the watermark signal integrity. The methodology demonstrates high resistance in typical and limited attack conditions.



Figure 10: Images in column 1 attacked by S&P noise (0.02), Images in column 2 attacked by speckle noise (0.04), Images in column 3 attacked by gaussian noise (0.10) and Images in column 4 attacked by rotation (-50)

5.5 Analysis with Literature Techniques

This fragment elaborates on the contrast between the recommended strategy and current watermarking methods [12,14,16]. The proposed technique is tested on different image processing and geometrical attacks with multiple variances. After careful analysis, some watermarking techniques are simple and give the best-suited results, while some techniques are complex and their performance is degraded by complexity. Fig. 11 shows the trade-off between embedding power and performance measurement. Image watermarking techniques based on spatial domains are commonly robust to image processing attacks, while techniques based on frequency domains are commonly robust to geometrical attacks. In Table 7, unlike fixed region embedding in the literature, our approach proposed run-time selection of the embedding region by finding the contrast of the image. Tables 8 and 9 illustrate the robustness analysis of the literature and the technique proposed on the baboon and pepper images.



Figure 11: Robustness vs. image quality trade-off

Ref.	Method	Key techniques	PSNR	NC	Notes
	components				
[36]	DWT + SVD +	Chaos-based scrambling	49.0	0.93	No edge detection
	Arnold Scrambling	in transform domain			
[37]	DWT + HMD +	Medical image	50.5	0.94	Domain-specific
	SVD + Arnold	watermarking			enhancement
[38]	DWT + SVD +	Dual watermarking with	51.0	0.96	Robustness and
	Chebyshev Chaos	chaos			integrity assured
[39]	DWT + Edge	Edge-based +	50.0	0.92	Enhanced
	Detection +	blockchain-based			authentication
	Blockchain	security			
[40]	CNN + DWT +	Deep feature extraction +	53.0	0.95	Combines DL with
	SVD	frequency embedding			classical methods
[41]	GAN-based	Adversarial learning for	54.2	0.97	Resilient to
	Watermarking	robust embedding			distortions
[42]	Transformer-based	Attention-guided	55.0	0.98	High flexibility
	Watermarking	adaptive embedding			

 Table 7: Comparison of recent hybrid and learning-based watermarking techniques

	- -				
Ref.	Method components	Key techniques	PSNR	NC	Notes
[29]	CT-Canny + NN + Watermarking	Neural network based edge detection	49.5	0.89	Adaptive edge detection via ML
[This]	DWT + Laplacian + PWLCM S-box	Edge-guided embedding + chaos encryption	55.4	>0.98	Proposed method unified robust strategy

Table 7 (continued)

Table 8: NC values comparison on baboon image

Attack	Intensity	Proposed	[28]
S&P Noising	0.01	0.9820	0.6833
S&P Noising	0.03	0.9780	0.4013
Gaussian Noising	0.001	0.9980	0.9036
Gaussian Noising	0.003	0.9920	0.6974
JPEG Filtering	60%	0.9900	0.9713

Table 9: NC values comparison on pepper image

Attack	Intensity	Proposed	[43]	[44]
S&P Noising	0.05	0.96	0.99	0.86
Gaussian Noising	0.05	0.98	0.92	0.79
Speckle Noising	0.05	0.94	0.99	0.92
Cropping	20%	1.00	0.96	_
JPEG Compress	90	0.99	0.99	0.99

In Table 10, robustness analysis against the blind image watermarking technique based on machine learning. Multiple noise, filtering, and compression attacks were performed on the pepper image for comparison. These deep and machine learning models demand GPU resources alongside extensive training data as well as lengthy inference processes, thus ruling out suitability for applications that need lightweight or real-time operation.

Table 10: NC values c	comparison on	pepper image
-----------------------	---------------	--------------

Attack	Intensity	Proposed	[22]	[45]
S&P Noising	0.002	1.00	1.00	1.00
S&P Noising	0.004	1.00	1.00	1.00
S&P Noising	0.006	1.00	1.00	1.00
Median Filtering	[2,2]	0.99	0.93	_
Median Filtering	[3,3]	0.98	0.97	0.99

Table 10 (continued)

Attack	Intensity	Proposed	[22]	[45]
JPEG Compress	20	0.94	0.92	0.99
JPEG Compress	50	0.99	1.00	0.99

In Table 11, the robustness analysis of the suggested technique with the SVD-based image watermarking technique on the pepper image. In the watermarked image, multiple attacks are performed with different intensities to compare the robustness of the embedded watermark. The proposed DWT and Laplacian-based technique outperformed literature techniques at some level. Table 12 shows the robustness analysis of some real-world hybrid attacks.

Table 11: Comparison of NC values on pepper image

Attack	Intensity	Proposed	[27]
S&P Noising	0.005	1.00	0.87
S&P Noising	0.01	0.98	0.80
Speckle Noising	0.04	0.96	0.61
Speckle Noising	0.4	0.42	0.20
Median Filtering	[3,3]	0.98	1.00
Median Filtering	[5,5]	0.96	0.47
JPEG Compress	50	0.99	0.82
JPEG Compress	75	0.99	0.99
Scaling	0.5	1.00	1.00
-			

 Table 12: Performance evaluation against hybrid attacks (pepper)

Hybrid Attack	PSNR	SSIM	NC
Gaussian Noise + JPEG (20%)	48.6	0.98	0.91
Median Filter + Cropping (25%)	45.3	0.94	0.88
Salt & Pepper (0.02) + Median Filter	46.8	0.96	0.89
Speckle Noise + JPEG (60%)	44.1	0.92	0.85

6 Conclusions

In the modern age, it is easy to manipulate and duplicate multimedia available on the internet. Image watermarking techniques are an essential tool in the field of digital image protection and authentication. They allow content creators and owners to embed invisible or visible marks in their images, thus asserting ownership and protecting against unauthorized use. In this paper, the DWT and Laplacian-inspired image watermarking approach is suggested to protect the watermark. DWT is chosen to use the favorable properties of the frequency domain to preserve the watermark, whereas the second-order Laplacian derivative is used to detect the favorable position for watermark insertion. The DWT technique is computationally expensive but shows good resistance against filtering and image compression attacks.

In this suggested mathematical technique, the watermark bits are embedded only in the band to maintain the imperceptibility of the watermarking. The imperceptibility parameter (PSNR, SSIM) values

of the proposed technique are comparable to the state-of-the-art methods, while the robustness parameter NC values make good progress against attacks. This technique lacks Learning-Based methods, which are important in modern research. In the future, generative AI will be used to generate watermark signals and focus on AI algorithms that are helpful in the embedding and extraction process. This study shows that the new watermarking method is effective when it comes to being strong, discrete, and flexible. However, we did not include a detailed look at its complexity or how it performs with different image sizes. In the future, we plan to conduct a thorough evaluation of its performance and resource use.

Acknowledgement: The authors extend their appreciation to Researcher Supporting Project number (RSPD2025R636), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Funding Statement: This research was supported by the researcher supporting Project number (RSPD2025R636), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Author Contributions: The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: study conception and design: Zaid Bin Faheem, Maazen Alsabaan, Yuanyuan Zhu; data collection: Jehad Ali, Zaid Bin Faheem; analysis and interpretation of results: Yuanyuan Zhu, Maazen Alsabaan; draft manuscript preparation: Jehad Ali, Maazen Alsabaan. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials: Not applicable.

Ethics Approval: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study.

References

- Faheem ZB, Hanif D, Arslan F, Ali M, Hussain A, Ali J, et al. An edge inspired image watermarking approach using compass edge detector and LSB in cybersecurity. Comput Electr Eng. 2023;111:108979. doi:10.1016/j.compeleceng. 2023.108979.
- 2. Sharma S, Sharma H, Sharma JB. Artificial intelligence based watermarking in hybrid DDS domain for security of colour images. Int J Intell Eng Inform. 2020;8(4):331–45. doi:10.1504/ijiei.2020.112041.
- 3. Wang B, Zhao P. An adaptive image watermarking method combining SVD and Wang-Landau sampling in DWT domain. Mathmatics. 2020;8:691. doi:10.3390/math8050691.
- 4. Hsu LY, Hu HT, Chou HH. A high-capacity QRD-based blind color image watermarking algorithm incorporated with AI technologies. Expert Syst Appl. 2022;199:117134. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2022.117134.
- 5. Abu-Faraj M, Al-Hyari A, Obimbo C, Aldebei K, Altaharwa I, Alqadi Z, et al. Protecting digital images using keys enhanced by 2D chaotic logistic maps. Cryptography. 2023;7(2):20. doi:10.3390/cryptography7020020.
- 6. Juarez-Sandoval OU, Garcia-Ugalde FJ, Cedillo-Hernandez M, Ramirez-Hernandez J, Hernandez-Gonzalez L. Imperceptible-visible watermarking to information security tasks in color imaging. Mathematics. 2021;9(19):2374. doi:10.3390/math9192374.
- 7. Aminuddin A, Ernawan F, Nincarean D, Amrullah A, Ariatmanto D. TCBR and TCBD: evaluation metrics for tamper coincidence problem in fragile image watermarking. Eng Sci Technol. 2024;56(10):101790. doi:10.1016/j. jestch.2024.101790.
- 8. Sanivarapu PV, Rajesh KN, Hosny KM, Fouda MM. Digital watermarking system for copyright protection and authentication of images using cryptographic techniques. Appl Sci. 2022;12(17):8724. doi:10.3390/app12178724.
- 9. Faheem ZB, Ishaq A, Rustam F, de la Torre Díez I, Gavilanes D, Vergara MM, et al. Image watermarking using least significant bit and canny edge detection. Sensors. 2023;23(3):1210. doi:10.3390/s23031210.
- 10. Cedillo-Hernandez M, Cedillo-Hernandez A, Garcia-Ugalde FJ. Improving DFT-based image watermarking using particle swarm optimization algorithm. Mathematics. 2021;9(15):1795. doi:10.3390/math9151795.

- 11. Evsutin O, Dzhanashia K. Watermarking schemes for digital images: robustness overview. Signal Processing: Image Commun. 2022;100:116523. doi:10.1016/j.image.2021.116523.
- 12. Poljicak A, Donevski D, Jelusic PB, Cigula T. Robust DFT watermarking method with gray component replacement masking. Multimed Tools Appl. 2022;81(21):30365–86. doi:10.1007/s11042-022-12756-9.
- 13. Devi HS, Singh KM. Red-cyan anaglyph image watermarking using DWT, Hadamard transform and singular value decomposition for copyright protection. J Inf Secur Appl. 2020;50(2):102424. doi:10.1016/j.jisa.2019.102424.
- 14. Wang X, Liu C, Jiang D. A novel triple-image encryption and hiding algorithm based on chaos, compressive sensing and 3D DCT. Inf Scis. 2021;574(1):505–27. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2021.06.032.
- 15. Ali M, Kumar S. A robust zero-watermarking scheme in spatial domain by achieving features similar to frequency domain. Electronics. 2024;13(2):435. doi:10.3390/electronics13020435.
- 16. Wang X. Laplacian operator-based edge detectors. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 2007;29(5):886–90. doi:10. 1109/tpami.2007.1027.
- 17. Zhong X, Huang PC, Mastorakis S, Shih FY. An automated and robust image watermarking scheme based on deep neural networks. IEEE Trans Multimed. 2020;23:1951–61. doi:10.1109/tmm.2020.3006415.
- 18. Singh P, Devi KJ, Thakkar HK, Kotecha K. Region-based hybrid medical image watermarking scheme for robust and secured transmission in IoMT. IEEE Access. 2022;10:8974–93. doi:10.1109/access.2022.3143801.
- 19. Abraham J, Paul V. An imperceptible spatial domain color image watermarking scheme. J King Saud Univ-Comput Inf Sci. 2019;31(1):125–33. doi:10.1016/j.jksuci.2016.12.004.
- 20. Singh D, Singh SK. DWT-SVD and DCT based robust and blind watermarking scheme for copyright protection. Multimed Tools Appl. 2017;76(11):13001–24. doi:10.1007/s11042-016-3706-6.
- 21. Al-Afandy KA, El-Shafai W, El-Rabaie ESM, Abd El-Samie FE, Faragallah OS, El-Mhalaway A, et al. Robust hybrid watermarking techniques for different color imaging systems. Multimed Tools Appl. 2018;77(19):25709–59. doi:10. 1007/s11042-018-5814-y.
- 22. Sinhal R, Jain DK, Ansari IA. Machine learning based blind color image watermarking scheme for copyright protection. Pattern Recognit Lett. 2021;145(11):171–7. doi:10.1016/j.patrec.2021.02.011.
- 23. Moosazadeh M, Ekbatanifard G. A new DCT-based robust image watermarking method using teaching-learning-based optimization. J Inf Secur Appl. 2019;47(3):28–38. doi:10.1016/j.jisa.2019.04.001.
- 24. Ahmadi SBB, Zhang G, Rabbani M, Boukela L, Jelodar H. An intelligent and blind dual color image watermarking for authentication and copyright protection. Appl Intell. 2021;51(3):1701–32. doi:10.1007/s10489-020-01903-0.
- 25. Gabr M, Korayem Y, Chen YL, Yee L, Ku CS, Alexan W. R3—rescale, rotate, and randomize: a novel image cryptosystem utilizing chaotic and hyper-chaotic systems. IEEE Access. 2023;11:119284–312. doi:10.36227/techrxiv. 23816760.
- 26. Alexan W, Chen YL, Por LY, Gabr M. Hyperchaotic maps and the single neuron model: a novel framework for chaos-based image encryption. Symmetry. 2023;15(5):1081. doi:10.3390/sym15051081.
- 27. Teoh YJ, Ling HC, Wong WK, Basuki TA. A hybrid SVD-based image watermarking scheme utilizing both U and V orthogonal vectors for robustness and imperceptibility. IEEE Access. 2023;11:51018–31. doi:10.1109/access.2023. 3279028.
- 28. Mokhnache S, Bekkouche T, Chikouche D. A robust watermarking scheme based on DWT and DCT using image gradient. Int J Appl Eng Res. 2018;13(4):1900–7. doi:10.3745/jips.02.0021.
- 29. Kazemi MF, Mazinan AH. Neural network based CT-Canny edge detector considering watermarking framework. Evolv Syst. 2022;13(1):145–57. doi:10.1007/s12530-021-09369-2.
- 30. Bin Faheem Z, Ali A, Khan MA, Ul-Haq ME, Ahmad W. Highly dispersive substitution box (S-box) design using chaos. ETRI J. 2020;42(4):619–32. doi:10.4218/etrij.2019-0138.
- 31. Hore A, Ziou D. Image quality metrics: PSNR vs. SSIM. In: 2010 20th International Conference on Pattern Recognition. Istanbul, Turkey: IEEE; 2010. p. 2366–9. doi: 10.1109/icpr.2010.579.
- 32. Zhu X, Ding J, Dong H, Hu K, Zhang X. Normalized correlation-based quantization modulation for robust watermarking. IEEE Trans Multimed. 2014;16(7):1888–904. doi:10.1109/tmm.2014.2340695.

- Begum M, Shorif SB, Uddin MS, Ferdush J, Jan T, Barros A, et al. Image watermarking using discrete wavelet transform and singular value decomposition for enhanced imperceptibility and robustness. Algorithms. 2024;17(1):32. doi:10.3390/a17010032.
- 34. Li YM, Wei D, Zhang L. Double-encrypted watermarking algorithm based on cosine transform and fractional Fourier transform in invariant wavelet domain. Inf Sci. 2021;551(21):205–27. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2020.11.020.
- 35. Wang X, Peng F, Niu P, Yang H. Statistical image watermark decoder using NSM-HMT in NSCT-FGPCET magnitude domain. J Inf Secur Appl. 2022;69(4):103312. doi:10.1016/j.jisa.2022.103312.
- 36. Heidari M, Karimi S. A novel robust and more secure blind image watermarking for optical remote sensing using DWT-SVD and chaotic maps. Opt Quantum Electron. 2023;55(6):535. doi:10.1007/s11082-023-04784-y.
- 37. Chaudhary H, Garg P, Vishwakarma VP. Enhanced medical image watermarking using hybrid DWT-HMD-SVD and Arnold scrambling. Sci Rep. 2025;15(1):9710. doi:10.1038/s41598-025-94080-4.
- 38. Zhang J, Du J, Xi X, Yang Z. Chebyshev chaotic mapping and DWT-SVD-based dual watermarking scheme for copyright and integrity authentication of remote sensing images. Symmetry. 2024;16(8):969. doi:10.3390/ sym16080969.
- Mannepalli PK, Richhariya V, Gupta SK, Shukla PK, Dutta PK, Chowdhury S, et al. A robust blockchain-based watermarking using edge detection and wavelet transform. Multimed Tools Appl. 2024;84(14):1–25. doi:10.1007/ s11042-024-18907-4.
- 40. Ahtesham M, Zhong X. Text-guided image invariant feature learning for robust image watermarking. arXiv:2503.13805. 2025. doi:10.1109/csci62032.2023.00185.
- 41. Guo H, Zhang Q, Luo J, Guo F, Zhang W, Su X, et al. Practical deep dispersed watermarking with synchronization and fusion. In: Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia; 2023; Ottawa, ON, Canada. p. 7922–32. doi: 10.1145/3581783.3612015.
- 42. Karki B, Tsai CH, Huang PC, Zhong X. Deep learning-based text-in-image watermarking. In: 2024 IEEE 7th International Conference on Multimedia Information Processing and Retrieval (MIPR). San Jose, CA, USA: IEEE; 2024. p. 376–82. doi: 10.1109/mipr62202.2024.00066.
- 43. Dong Y, Yan R, Zhang Q, Wu X. A hybrid domain color image watermarking scheme based on hyperchaotic mapping. Mathematics. 2024;12(12):1859. doi:10.3390/math12121859.
- 44. Zhang H, Li Z, Liu X, Wang C, Wang X. Robust image watermarking algorithm based on QWT and QSVD using 2D Chebyshev-Logistic map. Franklin Inst. 2022;359(2):1755–81. doi:10.1016/j.jfranklin.2021.11.027.
- 45. Darwish MM, Farhat AA, El-Gindy TM. Convolutional neural network and 2D logistic-adjusted-Chebyshevbased zero-watermarking of color images. Multimed Tools Appl. 2024;83(10):29969–95. doi:10.1007/s11042-023-16649-3.